

Knowing a Planned Giver When You See One



Date: September 25, 2014

Time: 1:00 – 2:30 Eastern Time

Presenter: Jeff Lydenberg

Vice President, Consulting

PG Calc Incorporated





Agenda

- Gift annuity and charitable remainder trust donor behavior
- How to know the planned giver
 - Basic field identification
- Talking to and motivating prospects to action
- Donor assets and matching vehicles
- Case studies of planned givers



- Estate taxes and charitable bequests
- Do the wealthy give at death to save on taxes?
 - 2001 exemption= \$675,000 55% top rate
 - 2014 exemption= \$5.34M 40% top rate
 - Unlimited estate tax charitable deduction
- 58% of high net worth households claim charitable bequest decision not affected by estate tax



- Number of estate tax returns declined 90% from 2001 to 2012
- Estate tax deductions declined 25.4% for same period
- Bequest giving increased 7.2%, 2012 to 2013
- Bequest giving not highly correlated with estate taxes



- Census Bureau HRS study 1992 to 2012
- Three areas critical to charitable bequest decision
 - Trust in the charity
 - Presence or absence of heirs
 - Higher the net worth, higher likelihood of bequest
- 5.7% of general population with charity plans
- 9.4% of those over 50 making charitable gifts of \$500 or more have charity plans



- Importance of childlessness among over those over 50 donating \$500 or more to charity
 - 50% of these donors have no children
 - 17.1% of these donors have children
 - 9.8% of these donors have grandchildren
- Australia: those with wills and no kids 10X more likely to include charity
- Childlessness on the increase



Gift Annuity Behavior

- Gift annuitants oldest donors
 - ACGA survey age 79 at first annuity
- Most are women
- Most are single
- Limited investment experience
- Concerned about outliving resources



Charitable Trust Behavior

- Wealthiest donors
- May not be regular annual fund donors
- May have made major gifts
- Ages vary widely
- More financially sophisticated than annuity donors
- More comfortable with financial risk



Life Income Donor Behavior

- Life income donors tend to be transactional
 - "Make a gift and help yourself"
- Avoid the language of contract, marketplace
- Use family words, not formal words
 - James (2013)
- Say "make a gift and get payments for life" not "enter into a contract, transfer property..."



- The planned giver is often, but not always, already a donor
- Consider broader connections
 - Volunteers
 - Event participants
 - Members
 - Grateful patients
- Note connection information in a useable format in the database



- Basic identification
- Know the field marks
 - Age
 - Giving/connection to charity
 - Presence or absence of heirs
 - Educational level
 - Life events



Age

- Commercially available with some accuracy
- Membership applications
- Class year
- Surveys
- Charity first added to plans in 40's and 50's
- Must be in the <u>last</u> will
- Plans can be changed



Giving or other connections

- Some planned gifts come from those with no obvious connection
- Charities with broad missions attract general support
 - Salvation Army
 - Disease charities
- Connections, giving frequently not in donor database
- Long giving history indicates bequest likelihood
- Higher average gifts from bequest givers



- Presence or absence of heirs
- Bequest giving raises charity to status of family
- Charity acts as a synthetic family
- Those without children dramatically more likely to make charitable bequest
- Increasing childlessness in U.S.
- Can you capture childlessness in your database?



- Educational Level
- Higher educational achievement = higher likelihood of a charitable bequest
- Bachelor's more likely than high school only
- Graduate degree more likely than bachelor's only
- Challenging to capture
 - Note credentials
 - Can you capture in a searchable field?



- Life Events
 - Planned gifts often result from events in donors life
- Changes that destabilize donor's life
 - Approaching death (cancer diagnosis for example)
 - Becoming a widow or widower
 - Divorce
 - Grandchildren
 - Increasing assets
- When death becomes real



- What about capacity?
- Capacity is not the only variable to consider
- Certainly must have sufficient assets to make gift
- If field marks present, capacity important
- Wealth not always visible
- Capacity increases virtually if no children



- Starting the conversation
- Avoid technical talk
- Open-ended questions
- Let the donor tell her story
 - "Why did you make your first gift to us?"
 - "How did you get involved with us?"
 - "Why did you choose us?"



- Inertia is a planned gift's worst enemy
- People avoid difficult discussions
 - Death
 - Family
 - Money
- Make the planned gift decision easy or exciting



- Beneficiary designations
- Wills and trusts expensive to change, raise emotional issues
- Beneficiary designations control distribution of:
 - Qualified retirement plans
 - Savings bonds
 - Commercial annuities
 - Most bank and financial accounts can be controlled by designation



- Create a sense of urgency to complete the planned gift
- Legacy Challenge case study
 - Every notification of estate commitment triggered
 \$1,000 immediate gift to charity
 - Challenge generated 128 new commitments
 - 83% increase in notifications compared to typical year



Knowing a planned giver by what they own

- Character of planned giving assets
 - Illiquid, not easily converted to cash
 - Appreciated in value, sale could generate 23.8% tax
 - Non-income producing, valuable assets but no income
 - Income in respect of a decedent, IRD subject to income tax at owners death



Knowing a planned giver by what they own

Real Estate

- Capital gain on sale, perhaps no income, illiquid

Retirement plans

IRD assets

Publicly traded securities

Capital gain on sale, perhaps income on sale

Closely held business

Capital gain on sale, illiquid, no income



Matching donors and gift vehicles

Bequests

- Potentially all supporters, all ages
- Reality is less than 10% will include a bequest and most will do so late in life
- Driven by emotional connection to charity
- Regular annual fund donors
- Childless more likely to make a bequest
- Highly educated more likely to make a bequest
- Flexibility and privacy appeal to many



Matching donors and gift vehicles

Gift Annuities

- Oldest donors, average age 79 at first gift
- Cautious
- Concerned about outliving resources
- Relatively uncomplicated gift
- Not all charities should offer annuities
- Guaranteed payments



Matching donors and gift vehicles

Charitable Remainder Trusts

- Complicated gift requiring attorney involvement
- Selection of trustee to invest and administer important
- Donors typically younger than CGA donors
- Experienced investors
- Comfortable with risk
- Substantial assets



Bequest Case Study

- Six figure bequest to Midwestern children's hospital
- No discernable connection to donor
- Lessons learned?
 - Lesson is not "You just never know."
 - Capture data from other sources in your database
 - Continuity of recordkeeping important
 - Bequest marketing worked in this case



- Mrs. Sylvester, age 75
- Alumna of nearby college
- Long time annual fund donor
- Attends college theater and musical productions
- Involved in colleges life-long learning program
- Recently widowed



- Never made a major gift
- Solicited for \$10,000 gift
- Request is to support new Peace and Justice Center at the college
- Gift is to be in memory of donor's late husband
- Can't afford to make \$10,000 gift



- 10 year T note yielding 2.59%
- 5 year CD yields 2.3%
- Mrs. Sylvester has a \$50,000 CD coming due
- ACGA rate for age 75 is 5.8%
- \$2,900 payment each year for life
- Charitable deduction plus most of payment tax-free



- Mrs. Sylvester balks at the gift
- Lessons learned?
 - Recent recession has shaken many people
 - Dow at record levels, but no guarantees
 - Key objection: can't get her money back
 - Not verbalized, but recent death of husband has left her unsure, cautious



- Michael Sullivan M.D., Elaine Sullivan, J.D.
- 76 and 74, one child
- Alums of same ivy league university
- Intermittent annual fund donors
- Have made four and five figure gifts totaling \$25,000
- Enjoy managing their substantial stock portfolio



- Dr. Sullivan solicited for \$250,000 50th reunion gift
- Sullivan's offer \$150,000 gift
- MGO wants to get Sullivans to reach stretch goal
- PGO suggests a charitable remainder unitrust



- 5% CRUT funded with \$250,000 stock gift
- \$12,500 in year one
- \$13,671 in year ten assuming 6% growth
- \$300,000 to the college in sixteen years, also assuming 6% growth
- Sullivans self-trustee and bank handles administration



Sullivans love the idea

Lessons learned?

- Management of the CRUT investments appeals to these donors
- Equity with those willing to donate assets now
- Self-trusteeing appealing at 76 and 74
- Not as attractive at 96 and 94
- Bank can take over investment management later
- Bank manages accounting and tax returns for trust



Questions and Answers





Still Have a Question?

Contact: Jeff Lydenberg

Vice President, Consulting

PG Calc Incorporated

E-mail: jeff@pgcalc.com